IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Torres)
Serial No.: 10/682,586) Examiner: Mr. Phi Dieu N Tran A
	Group Art Unit: 3637
Filed: October 9, 2003) Confirmation No.: 9767
For: Stud Spacer for Metal Wall	}
Attorney's Docket No: 4782-030	}
	')
Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an
	envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief Patents, Commissioner for Patents. P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, VA

November 4, 2009
Date
This correspondence is being:

22313-1450.

electronically submitted via EFS-Web

☐ transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 273-8800.

Patent Pending

Dear Sir or Madam:

In re Application of

The fees for an appeal brief have previously been paid. If any additional fees are required, the Patent and Trademark Office is authorized to charge them to Coats & Bennett Deposit Account No. 18-1167.

APPEAL BRIEF

(I.) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is The Steel Network, Inc.

(II.) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences.

(III.) STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1, 6-8, 11, 21-24 and 34-38 are pending and are appealed herein.

Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 12-20 and 25-33 have been canceled.

(IV.) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

All amendments have been entered.

(V.) SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Claim 1 is directed to a stud spacer 10 that extends between two studs 24 in a wall where each of the studs includes an opening 24C. See Fig. 1A; page 2, paragraph 2, lines 2-3; page 6, paragraph 3, line 10. The stud spacer includes a main member adapted to extend between two studs. The main member includes first and second end portions. A projection 40 extends from one of the end portions. See Fig. 1A and page 4, paragraph 5, lines 4-6 and page 5, lines 1-3. An opening 42 is formed in the other end portion. See Fig. 1A and page 6, paragraph 1, lines 1-2. The main member includes a pair of side flanges 36 and a pair of end flanges 34. See Fig 1A and page 5, paragraph 3, 1st sentence. End flanges 34 are adapted to be connected to the two studs that stud spacer 10 extends between. See Fig. 1 and page 5, 2nd full paragraph, 1st sentence. Stud spacer 10 is adapted to be connected to another stud spacer by extending projection 40 of the one stud spacer through opening 42 within one stud and

into the opening of another stud spacer. See Fig. 6 and page 6, paragraph beginning with "Having..." and concluding on page 7, next to last sentence in the paragraph.

Claim 21 calls for a stud spacer 10 that extends between two studs 24. See Fig. 1 and page 6, paragraph 2, lines 9-10. The stud spacer 10 includes a main member adapted to extend between the two studs. The main member includes first and second end portions. A projection 200 extends from one end portion. See Fig. 7A-7H and page 7, paragraph 4, lines 3-5. There is provided a projection receiver formed on the other end portion. See page 7 paragraph 4, line 5; and page 8, lines 3-7. The projection 200 or projection receiver includes one or more locking members such that when a projection of one stud is projected into the projection receiver of another stud, a locked condition is realized. See Fig. 7H, page 10, lines 6-

Claim 34 calls for a stud spacer 10 that extends between two studs 24, and which is connected to one or more similar stud spacers. See Fig. 1 and page 6, paragraph 2, lines 9-10. The stud spacer includes a main member. The main member includes opposed end portions. A projection 100 extends from one end portion. Page 10, paragraph 1, line 6. A receiver 102 is disposed on one end portion of the stud spacer and adapted to receive a projection 100 of another stud spacer. See page 10, paragraph 1, lines 7-9. When the two stud spacers are connected together, the projection 100 of one stud spacer will engage and lock with the receiver 102 of another stud spacer. See page 10, paragraph 1, lines 7-9.

(VI.) GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Whether claims 21-24 and 34-38 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) by Vukmanic, U.S. Patent No. 4,677,802.

In construing a disputed claim term, must the Examiner disclose to the Applicant the explicit claim construction given by the Examiner to the disputed claim term?

Whether claims 1, 6-8, 11 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) by Laughlin, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5.619.263.

(VII.) ARGUMENT

A. Claims 21-24 and 34-48 Are Not Anticipated by Vukmanic.

Claims 21 and 34 are as follows:

21. A stud spacer for extending between two studs comprising: a main member adapted to extend between the two studs:

the main member including first and second end

a projection extending from one end portion;

a projection receiver formed on the other end portion; and

wherein either the projection or projection receiver includes one or more locking members such that when a projection of one stud spacer is projected into the projection receiver of another stud spacer a locked condition is realized.

- 34. A stud spacer for extending between two studs and connected to one or more similar stud spacers, comprising:
 - a main member;
 - b. the main member having opposed end portions;
 - a projection extending from one end portion;

Appeal Brief for Application Ser. No. 10/682,586 Attorney Docket No. 4782-030 Client Ref. No. IP-P-016

- a receiver disposed on the other end portion and adapted to receive a projection of another stud spacer; and
- e. wherein when two stud spacers are connected together the projection of one stud spacer will engage and lock with the receiver of another stud spacer.
- In construing a disputed claim term, must the Examiner, when
 requested, disclose the claim construction to the Applicant, or can
 the Examiner refuse to disclose the claim construction and maintain
 that whatever the construction the prior art falls within the scope of
 the construction?

In ex parte prosecution, this may be a novel question of law. Applicants have been unable to find any authority addressing this issue.

Certainly, claim construction is a vital and essential part of any patentability analysis in *ex parte* prosecution. Examiners are required to construe disputed claim terms. Only after the claims are construed, can the Examiner apply prior art. The MPEP, precedent of this Board, as well as Federal Circuit authority, holds that disputed claim terms in *ex parte* prosecution must be construed.

Section 2111.01 of the MPEP sets forth the basic rules of claim construction in ex parte prosecution. The words of the claim must be given their plain and customary meaning unless the plain meaning is inconsistent with the specification. See In re Zeltz, 893 F.2d, 319, 321, 13 U.S.P.Q.2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The ordinary and customary meaning of a term may be evidenced by a variety of sources including the specification, the prosecution history, the words of the claims themselves, and extrinsic evidence concerning the meaning of technical terms and the state of the art. Phillips v.

AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 1314, 75 U.S.P.Q.2d 1321, 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc).

The issue presented here is, what must the Examiner do to appropriately comply with the requirement to construe a disputed claim term? In this case, the Examiner was repeatedly requested to construe the claim terms "stud" and "stud spacer". On at least three different occasions the Examiner was requested to disclose his construction of these terms. See Applicant's Office Action responses of June 30, 2008, October 20, 2006, and July 14, 2006. The Examiner refused each request to disclose his claim construction. Thus, the issue here is, if requested by the Applicant, must the Examiner explicitly set forth his or her construction based on Section 2111.01 MPEP and the basic law of claim construction; or, can the Examiner refuse to disclose the construction and simply maintain that a part or feature of the applied prior art meets the disputed claim term? It is difficult to see how the claims can be fairly and thoroughly construed without Applicants' knowing the Examiner's construction of the disputed claim term.

Many times, Examiners, as a manner of course, disclose their claim construction.

Applicants do not contend that the practice complained of here is routine.

When the Examiner refuses to disclose the claim construction, the Applicant is left with little knowledge of the construction except, again, that whatever construction was imparted to the claim term, it is broad enough to encompass a part or feature of the applied prior art. In these cases, the Applicants do not know if the Examiner gave the disputed claim term its plain and ordinary meaning, if the construction is consistent with the specification, or if the construction is consistent with how a person of ordinary skill in the art would construe the term. In other words, the Applicant has no way of knowing if

Section 2111.01 of the MPEP has been complied with, or if the construction comports with the basic canons of claim construction. Most importantly, without knowing the Examiner's construction, the Applicants have no way of effectively contesting or traversing the claim construction. In the end it is difficult, if not impossible, for the Applicants to challenge the claim construction.

An Applicant should not be left to speculate as to the Examiner's construction. When the Examiner refuses to divulge the construction, an Applicant cannot look at the applied prior art and determine with any degree of certainty the claim construction that was applied. While the Applicant can guess or speculate, there may be an infinite number of materially different constructions that would encompass a part or feature of the prior art being applied. Again, there is no way for the Applicant to know if the Examiner correctly applied the plain and customary meaning for the term, construed the term consistent with the specification, or construed the term consistent with how a person of ordinary skill in the art would have construed the same.

Logic is of little help traversing a claim construction issue where the Examiner refuses to disclose the claim construction. Here, the Applicant is left to argue that whatever the construction, the construction is unreasonably broad. Again, Applicant cannot attack the basis for the construction because the construction is unknown.

Fundamentally, claim construction cannot be carried out without disclosing the construction. If the Examiner's construction is withheld, can that really constitute claim construction? Notwithstanding, the advantages of disclosing the claim construction far outweigh the disadvantages. Certainly, by disclosing the claim construction to the Applicant, there will be a more accurate claim construction. This will not change the

basic law with respect to *ex parte* claim construction. Still, the Examiner can give the disputed claim term the broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification. The point here is that the Examiner should be required to disclose the broadest reasonable construction to the Applicant. By knowing how the Examiner construes a claim term, the Applicant has an opportunity to amend the claim and better define the invention over the prior art. This should result in less appeals. In addition, the public interest is served by an open claim construction process because in the end the claim as a whole is clearer and perhaps more definite. This serves the public notice function of the claim.

The requirement for an explicit construction is not burdensome or unreasonable. Here, for example, the claim terms in dispute are "stud" and "stud spacer". Certainly, as required, the Examiner construed these terms. Requiring the Examiner to disclose s construction that already has been made is not burdensome or unreasonable. This is especially true considering the fundamental role that claim construction plays in a patentability analysis.

Applicants understand that the Board, from time-to-time, engages in claim construction and sometimes expressly states the construction. However, an Applicant should not have to appeal an Examiner's decision to obtain a claim construction. That is a very expensive and time-consuming approach to such a fundamental principle of patent law.

There is danger in condoning a practice where the Examiner is not required to disclose to the Applicant the claim construction. The danger is that the claim construction step may be skipped or casually approached by looking at the disputed

Client Ref. No. IP-P-016

claim term and simply concluding, without a construction, that a part or feature of the applied prior art meets that term. In such cases the canons of claim construction are not applied. If this happens, there is a chance that in a significant number of cases that the claim construction is wrong.

The Board is respectfully urged to separately address this issue and to specifically hold that when a claim construction term is clearly in dispute and the Applicant requests the Examiner to disclose his or her claim construction, that the Examiner must do so.

2. Properly construed, the claims are not anticipated by Vukmanic.

As noted above, the first step in a Section 102 analysis is claim construction. All claim terms that are in dispute must be construed.

Based on the specification including the drawings and the customary and ordinary meaning of the term "stud spacer," the term "stud spacer" as used in the present application means a structural member interposed between studs in a wall for holding the study a given distance from each other. Again, that construction is consistent with the customary and ordinary meaning of the term "stud spacer," consistent with Applicants' specification, and consistent with how a person of ordinary skill in the art would construe the term.

Regarding the ordinary meaning of the term "stud", the McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms defines "stud" as follows:

> One of the vertical members in the walls of a frame building to which wallboards, lathing, or paneling is nailed or fastened.

See Exhibit 1. (Evidence entered into record at page 9 of Applicant's response of July 14, 2006).

Certainly, this definition of "stud" is consistent with how Applicants have used the term in their specification. A person of ordinary skill in the art would likewise view the term "stud" as referring to a vertical member in a wall structure.

The same McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms defines "spacer" as:

A device for holding two members at a given distance from each other.

See Exhibit 2. (Evidence entered into record at page 9 of Applicant's response of July 14, 2006).

Thus, the term "stud spacer" means a structural member interposed between studs of a wall for holding the studs at a given distance from each other. This construction is consistent with Applicants' specification and how a person of ordinary skill in the art would construe the term.

Vukmanic does not disclose a stud or a stud spacer. Indeed, Vukmanic does not even show or discuss a wall structure. Instead, Vukmanic discloses a ceiling suspension system that includes main runners 11 and cross runners 12 for supporting ceiling tiles. The Examiner finds that the runners 11 are studs, and that cross runners 12 in the ceiling system constitutes stud spacers. This finding is error. It is contrary to the proper construction of "stud" and "stud spacer." A ceiling structure is not a wall, and structural components of a ceiling structure can never be deemed a stud or a stud spacer.

All of Applicants' claims are restricted to a stud spacer for extending between studs. Claim 1, for example, recites the term "stud spacer" in both the preamble and body of the claim. Hence, Applicants' claims cannot be anticipated by a reference that does not disclose studs or stud spacers.

The Examiner's finding that Vukmanic discloses a stud spacer is unsupported.

The Examiner's Section 102 rejection rests entirely on the finding that Vukmanic discloses a stud spacer. The Examiner found: "Vukmanic shows a stud spacer (12) for extending between two studs with each stud having an opening therein..." Final Office Action, page 3. That finding is unsupported. That is, there is no substantial evidence that will support that finding. The structure 12 shown in Vukmanic is not a stud spacer. On the contrary, it is a cross runner found in a ceiling structure for supporting ceiling tiles. That finding or that fact is incontrovertible.

These findings bring into focus the shortcomings of the Examiner's Section 102 analysis. That is, if the Examiner had properly construed the term "stud spacer", then it would have been clear that the cross runner 12 in Vukmanic does not meet the stud spacer limitation in the claims.

The claim term "stud spacer" is a structure and not an intended use phrase.

The Examiner appears to maintain that a stud spacer is not a structural member, but simply an expression of an intended use. Respectfully, Applicant disagrees. The term "stud spacer" is a term of art widely used to describe a structural component of a wall. Persons of ordinary skill extensively refer to stud spacers as structural members in a wall, and the customary and ordinary meaning of "stud spacer", as discussed above, is consistent with its use as a term of art.

Many U.S. patents illustrate that the term "stud spacer" is a structure and is commonly referred to as a structure - not an intended use. The use of "stud spacer" as an ordinary term for defining a structure in a wall is evidenced in various U.S. patents. For example, see U.S. Patent No. 6,843,035 which refers to a prior art patent which reveals the use of a "stud spacer" used in construction. U.S. Patent No. 5,274,973 is directed to a Stud Spacer and a Mounting System. U.S. Patent No. 4,625,415, again, relates to a stud spacer. U.S. Patent No. 4,595,165 refers to a 2 x 4 stud spacer. U.S. Patent No. 4,155,208 is directed to a building insulation and refers to stapling a structure to a stud spacer.

B. Claims 1, 6-8, and 11 Are Not Anticipated by Laughlin et al.

Claim 1 is as follows:

A stud spacer for extending between two studs with each stud having an opening therein, the stud spacer comprising:

a main member adapted to extend between the two studs; the main member including first and second end portions; a projection extending from one of the end portions; an opening formed in the other end portion; wherein the main member includes a pair of side flanges and a pair of end flanges; wherein the end flanges are adapted to be connected to the two studs that the stud spacer extends between; and

two studs that the stud spacer extends between; and wherein the stud spacer is adapted to be connected to another stud spacer by extending the projection of the one stud spacer through the opening within one stud and into the opening of another stud spacer.

The Examiner has failed to find a stud spacer that meets the limitations of these claims or which can be combined with other relevant prior art to render the claims obvious. In addressing patentability, the Examiner has moved from the stud spacer field and attempted to find structures that can be argued to be capable of performing the function of the claimed stud spacer. The Examiner relies totally on a "capable of" argument to make out an anticipation case. Respectfully, the Examiner has failed. The strut 50 in Laughlin is not capable of functioning as the claimed stud spacer. Furthermore, the entire "capable of" theory used by the Examiner in making out an anticipation case is highly suspect in a case like the present one. As discussed below, there is no anticipation of these claims.


1. Properly construed, a "stud spacer" is not found in the Laughlin patent.


The claim construction issues discussed above also apply to this rejection. All of the claims at issue here include the recitation of a stud spacer. As discussed above, this is a structural term and properly construed, is not met by the strut 50 in Laughlin. The strut 50 in Laughlin clearly is not a stud spacer but is used to support a box 90 between a pair of T-bars 120, 122 in a ceiling. The strut 50 is not even a spacer. It is only an elongated support used to support the box 90 in a ceiling. See Figure 18 of the Laughlin patent.

2. The Laughlin strut is not capable of meeting the limitations of these claims

It is questionable whether the "capable of" approach to patentability can be indiscriminately applied as it has been applied in this case. Notwithstanding, even if applicable, there are structural and functional differences between the claimed invention and the Laughlin patent.

Claim 1 requires that the main member of the stud spacer include a projection extending from one end portion and an opening formed in the other end portion for receiving the projection of another stud spacer. This enables the stud spacers to be connected end-to-end. See Figures 2 and 4 depicted below which were taken from Applicant's drawings.





Note the projection 40 and the opening 42 shown in Figure 2. When the stud spacers are connected, the projection 40 projects through an opening in the stud and through opening 42 of a like stud spacer. This is illustrated in Figure 1A depicted below.





FIG. 1A

The Examiner, in analyzing the Laughlin strut, refers to finger 64 as a projection and refers to the space between the finger 64 and U-shaped finger 66 as the claimed opening. Nothing in Laughlin shows or describes the member 64 at one end of the strut projecting into the so-called opening on the other end of a like strut. Indeed, the ends of the struts are designed to clamp around the T-bars 120, 122 as shown in Figure 18 of Laughlin.



Thus, it is not seen how member 64 once clamped to T-bar 122 can indeed project through an opening in a stud and through the so-called opening on the end of another strut.

Claim 1 calls for the main member to include a pair of end flanges. The claim also requires that the end flanges are adapted to be connected to the two studs that the stud spacer extends between. The Examiner in applying Laughlin takes the position that the claimed end flanges in Laughlin are the parts of U-shaped finger 66 on the left and right of finger 64. See Final Office Action, p. 4. Claim 1 also requires that the end flanges be adapted to be connected to the studs and that the projection on one end of

the stud spacer project into an opening in the other end of another stud spacer. The Laughlin strut is not capable to achieving such a structural relationship. When the end of the strut 50 is clamped onto the T-bar 122 as shown in Figure 18 of Laughlin, it is not reasonable or possible for another so-called projection 64 to be inserted into the alleged opening that surrounds a like member 64.

It should be pointed out that the so-called opening in Laughlin's strut is filled when the fingers 64 and 66 clamp around the T-bar. See Figure 18 of Laughlin. If the alleged opening is filled with a T-bar or even the web of a stud, then it follows that the finger 64 in the Laughlin strut would not be capable of being projected into the opening. Again, the opening is filled by the T-bar or the web of a stud.

3. The Examiner's findings of fact are conclusionary and unsupported

Claim 1, for example, includes the following limitation:

wherein the stud spacer is adapted to be connected to another stud spacer by extending the projection of the one stud spacer through the opening within one stud and into the opening of another stud spacer.

The Examiner's entire fact finding exercise with respect to this limitation in claim 1 is simply reciting back the claim language. More particularly, in dealing with these elements and limitations of claim 1, the Examiner, relying on Laughlin to meet this portion of the claim, concludes as follows:

And wherein one stud spacer is adapted to be connected to another stud spacer by extending the projection of the one stud spacer through the opening within one stud and into the opening of another stud spacer (able to function as claimed),

Final Office Action, p. 4

There is no fact finding or any analysis here. The Examiner has simply recited what the claim recites. It is not at all clear how the Laughlin strut is capable of meeting these limitations. It is at least incumbent upon the Examiner to tell the Applicants how the so-called projection 64 in Laughlin could be extended through an opening in a stud, and at the same time extended into an opening on the opposite end of another strut.

The Examiner in applying Laughlin states that the claimed opening on the other end portion of the strut is the slot in Laughlin that surrounds the projection 64. It is not altogether clear what particular slot or opening that the Examiner is referring to in Laughlin. But, the more important problem is that it is unclear how the so-called projection 64 could possibly be extended through an opening in a stud and also into an opening on the opposite end of another strut without materially deforming the strut. Certainly it is not a matter of simply inserting the member 64 into an opening on the opposite end of another strut. The so-called opening in the Laughlin strut is closed at the top. Therefore, it is impossible for the member 64 to be inserted downwardly into a slot or opening. But the problem is how could the member 64 be extended through an opening in a stud and somehow oriented such that it could be extended into the alleged opening on the other end of another strut and at the same time the alleged end flanges be connected to the stud. That is not at all clear. And the Examiner has not explained how this could occur.

Applicants maintain that the Laughlin strut is not capable of meeting the recited language in claim 1. Importantly however, it is at least incumbent upon the Examiner to set forth clear and detailed facts that show that there is a reasonable basis for facts found. Simply reciting claim language is insufficient, especially where the capabilities of the prior art are not readily apparent, which is certainly the case here.

When applying this "capable of" patentability analysis, it is important that the analysis be carried out with respect to the entire claim in a single instance. That is, it is improper to find that the alleged prior art is capable of meeting the limitations of a portion of the claim in one instance, and then capable of meeting other portions of a claim in another instance. In this case, claim 1 requires that the end flanges be adapted to be connected to a stud. At the same time the claim requires the projection of one stud spacer be extended through an opening in a stud and into the opening in another stud spacer. The alleged prior art must be capable of doing all of that at the same time because unless the alleged prior art can accomplish all of that at the same time, it cannot be anticipated. Here there is no fact finding that the strut 50 of Laughlin is capable of being applied where the end flanges are connected to a stud and at the same time the alleged projection 64 is capable of being extended through an opening in a stud and further being capable of being extended into an opening of another strut or stud spacer. There is no such finding of fact. In reality the strut of Laughlin is incapable of such.

4. The Laughlin struts are not adapted to be connected

Claim 1 requires that the stud spacer be adapted to be connected to another stud spacer. Clearly, the strut in Laughlin is not adapted to be connected to another strut.

See Laughlin. Fig. 18. Furthermore, it is not readily apparent that the strut is capable of

Client Ref. No. IP-P-016

being connected to another strut. The Examiner has not explained the factual basis for the strut being capable of being connected to another strut.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Board is respectfully urged to hold that the Examiner cannot refuse to disclose a claim construction of a clearly disputed claim term when requested by the Applicant. Further, the Board is respectfully urged to reverse the rejections of the Examiner in this case.

(VIII.) CLAIMS APPENDIX

 A stud spacer for extending between two studs with each stud having an opening therein, the stud spacer comprising:

a main member adapted to extend between the two studs;

the main member including first and second end portions;

a projection extending from one of the end portions;

an opening formed in the other end portion;

wherein the main member includes a pair of side flanges and a pair of end flanges;

wherein the end flanges are adapted to be connected to the two studs that the stud spacer extends between; and

wherein the stud spacer is adapted to be connected to another stud spacer by extending the projection of the one stud spacer through the opening within one stud and into the opening of another stud spacer.

- The stud spacer of claim 1 wherein the main member includes a central section and wherein the side flanges are turned out of the plane of the central section.
- The stud spacer of claim 6 wherein the end flanges and the side flanges are turned in opposite directions with respect to the central section.
- The stud spacer of claim 1 wherein at least one end flange is divided into at least two portions and wherein the projection extends between the two portions.
- The stud spacer of claim 1 wherein the opening formed in the second end portion of the main member includes a slot.

- 21. A stud spacer for extending between two studs comprising: a main member adapted to extend between the two studs; the main member including first and second end portions; a projection extending from one end portion; a projection receiver formed on the other end portion; and wherein either the projection or projection receiver includes one or more locking members such that when a projection of one stud spacer is projected into the projection receiver of another stud spacer a locked condition is realized.
- The stud spacer of claim 21 wherein either the projection or projection receiver includes one or more stops for engaging the one or more locking members.
- 23. The stud spacer of claim 22 wherein the locking members are disposed on the projection and the stops form a part of the projection receiver.
- 24. The stud spacer of claim 21 wherein at least a portion of the projection is deflectable in response to the projection engaging the projection receiver.
- A stud spacer for extending between two studs and connected to one or more similar stud spacers, comprising:
 - a main member:
 - b. the main member having opposed end portions;
 - c. a projection extending from one end portion;
 - a receiver disposed on the other end portion and adapted to receive a projection of another stud spacer; and

Appeal Brief for Application Ser. No. 10/682,586 Attorney Docket No. 4782-030 Client Ref. No. IP-P-016

- wherein when two stud spacers are connected together the
 projection of one stud spacer will engage and lock with the
 receiver of another stud spacer.
- 35. The stud spacer of claim 34 wherein the projection and receiver are disposed such that when consecutive stud spacers are connected together, the projections and receivers will overlie each other.
- 36. The stud spacer of claim 34 wherein both the projection and receiver include a flap that is at least partially flexible.
- The stud spacer of claim 36 wherein in a locked position, the flaps of the projection and receiver engage each other.
- 38. The stud spacer of claim 34 wherein both the projection and receiver include a flexible flap, a hold down element, an opening disposed between the flap and the hold down element, a deflector, and an opening disposed between the deflector and the hold down element.

(IX.) EVIDENCE APPENDIX

Exhibit 1: Definition of "stud" from McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and

Technical Terms. (Evidence entered into record at page 9 of Applicant's response of
July 14, 2006).

Exhibit 2: Definition of "spacer" from McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms. (Evidence entered into record at page 9 of Applicant's response of July 14, 2006).

(X.) RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.

Respectfully submitted,

COATS & BENNETT, P.L.L.C.

Larry L. Coats

Dated: November 4, 2009

Registration No.: 25,620

1400 Crescent Green, Suite 300 Cary, NC 27518

Telephone: (919) 854-1844 Facsimile: (919) 854-2084

McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms Fifth Edition

Sybil P. Parker Editor in Chief

McGraw-

McGraw-Hill, Inc.
San Francisco Washington, D.C.
Lisbon London Madrid

Auckland Bogotá Caracas Montreal New Delhi San J

San Juan Singapore

Sydney

Mexico (Tokyo On the cover: Photomicrograph of crystals of vitamin B₁. (Dennis Kunkel, University of Hawali)

Included in this Dictionary are definitions which have been published previously in the following works: P. B. Jordain. Condensed Conguster Encyclopedia, Copyright © 1989 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. I. Marious, Electronics and Nacional Continuory, Adv. C., Opyright © 1980, 1985, 1978 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. I. Quick, Artists and Illustrators' Encyclopedia, Copyright © 1980 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. In Busmeister and L. S. Mario, col., Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers, 7th ed. Copyright © 1980, 1970 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights tenerved. By 1970 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights tenerved.

In addition, material has been grawn from the following reference; R. E. Husche, Geientry of Meteocology, Armerican Meteocologies Society; 1959; U.S. Air Porce Glossary of Standardized Terms, AP Manual 11-1, vol. 3, 1970; W. H. Allen, ed., Dictionary of Technical Terms for Aerospace Unit, 1st ed., National Aerosaukis and Spece Administration, 1955; J. M. Gillitand, Selar-Terestrial Physics: A Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations, Royal Aircent Bitabilishment Technical Report Offsis, 1957; Glossary of Africa, Perins and Abbreviations, Royal Aircent Bitabilishment Technical Report Offsis, 1957; Glossary of Africa, Perins, Rederla Avalation Agency; A Glossary of Range Terminology, Wilas Sanda Missile Range, New Metrics, National Bureas of Standards, AD 467-424, A DOG Glossary of Reports, Charity and Geodetic Term, it et al., Department of Debens, 1957; B. V. Thusch, comp. Glossary of Report Offsis, Charity of Confederic Terminology, Wilas Charles Selaration, And Confederic Terminology, Charity Revolutions, 1956; News Deletionary of Electronic, Technical, and Insert News Technical Processing 1952; AP Charity Perins Processing 1952; AP Charity Perins Processing 1952; AP Charity Perins Perins Charity Perins Charity Perins Perin

McGRAW-HILL DICTIONARY OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL TERMS, Fifth Edition

Copyright © 1994, 1989, 1984, 1978, 1976, 1974 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

567890 DOW/DOW 99

ISBN 0-07-042333-4

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

McGraw-Hill dictionary of scientific and technical terms / Sybil P. Parker, editor in chief..—5th ed.

p. cm. ISBN 0-07-042333-4

Science—Dictionaries.
 Z. Technology—Dictionaries.

Declara Schill

I. Parker, Sybil P. Q123.M34 1993 503----dc20

93-34772 CIP

INTERNATIONAL ROITION

Copyright © 1994. Exclusive rights by McGraw-Hill, Inc. for manufacture and export. This book cannot be reexported from the country to which it is consigned by McGraw-Hill. The International Edition is not available in North America. t]

*

38

Ü

36

of

de

tlş

as nd

fly

180

of

IIN

8D

the

85

ian

tite

hio

M.

um

als: 17

ned

in I

uge

sma

fing

fak

nil }

s en

wer. ible, stub artly

ts of

1. A end,

: im-

2. A

oxial

uctor

hoel.

trees owth

e end

ib are

from

ected

submatching [ELECTROMAG] Use of a stub to match a transmission line to an antenna or load; matching depends on the mission between the two wires of the stub, the position of the ording bar, and the point at which the transmission line is encted to the stub: [stob machin]

dibmortise [ENG] A mortise which passes through only part

of a timber. ['stab ,mord-as]
subs gage [OES ENG] A number system for denoting the since were steel wire and drills. [stobz ggi]
hickness of steel wire and drills. [stobz ggi]
hickness of steel wire and drills. [stobz ggi]
hickness of steel wire and drills. [stobz ggi] ner conductor is supported by means of short-circuited coaxial

bs. { 'stab sə pord-əd ko'ak se əl } tub-supported line [BLECTROMAG] A transmission line that ned by short-circuited quarter-wave sections of coaxial inc a stub exactly a quarter-wavelength long acts as an insulator because it has infinite reactance. (stab so port of in)

sub switch [BNG] A pair of short switch rails, held only at or sear one end and free to move at the other end; used in min adio some extent on narrow-gage industrial tramways. ['stot

sight forion [ENG] A tenon that fits into a stub mortise, ['stab sub tube [MBCH ENG] A short tube welded to a boiler or

ssure vessel to provide for the attachment of additional parts. tab tub gub turner [ELECTROMAG] Stub which is terminated by mov able short-circuiting means and used for matching impe

in the line to which it is joined as a branch. ['stab ,tiln or] [MATER] A smooth plasterlike material applied to the estaids wall or other exterior surface of a building or structure.

[SEANO]
said [BUILD] One of the vertical members in the waits of a framed building to which wallboards, lathing, or paneling is sailed of fastened. [DES ENO] 1. A rivet, boss, or stall with a (ö'den') lirge, omamental head. 2. A short rod or bolt threaded at both

ds without a head. { stad } aud driver [MECH ENG] A device, such as an impact wrench, for driving a hardened steel nail (stud) into concrete or other

turd materials. ['stad, drf-var] dent's distribution [STAT] The probability distribution ised to test the hypothesis that a random sample of n observations comes from a normal population with a given mean.

(nede üyd'erraib, ane hüri Stident's t-stalistic [STAT] A one-sample test statistic compated by $T = \sqrt{n(\overline{X} - \mu_H)/S}$, where \overline{X} is the mean of a collecion of a observations, S is the square root of the mean square deviation, and μ_H is the hypothesized mean. ['stild-ons 'te

statistik l Student's t-test [STAT] A test in a one-sample problem which uses Student's t-statistic. ['stildens 'te test]

fudio [COMMUN] A room in which television or radio prorams are produced. ['stideo]

link chain [NAV ARCH] Chain in which each link has a

ud-link chain [NAV ARCH]

d at its midlength perpendicular to the major axis to maintain "the stage of the link. { 'stab | link , chân } if the link. A wall formed with timbers; studs are

sually spaced 12-16 inches (30-41 centimeters) on center. (low, deta)

Rudwolding [MET] Arc-welding using the heat of an electric produced between a metal stud and another part, and then inging the parts together under pressure. ('stad ,weld-in) atulied minerel [MINERAL] A mineral having extra ions of a feign element within its larger interstices. ['staft 'minrol] futting [ENG] A method of sealing the mechanical joint be veen two metal surfaces; packing (stuffing) material is inserted when two metal surfaces; packing (souting) macram is sherice within the seel area container (the stuffing or packing box), and bhitperssed to a liquid-proof seal by a threaded packing ring follower. Also known as packing. ['stafig)

stiffing box [8NO] A packed, pressure-tight joint for a rod that moves through a hole, to reduce or eliminate fluid leakage,

L'stafin ,baks | staffin, baks | suffing nut [BNG] A nut for adjusting a stuffing box. ['staf-[MIN ENG] A platform laid on timbers, braced across a

Working from side to side, to support workers or to carry ore or Mill place [MIN ENG] 1. A piece of timber placed slanting Vaiste. (stol) Wer the back of a level to prevent rock falling into the level

from the stopes above. 2. Timbers bracing the platform of a stull. ('stal ,pes)

stull stoping [MIN ENG] Stull timbers placed between the foot authorophic [and excel of one time the only artificial support provided during the excavation of a stope. ['stol, stop-in'] stump [MIN BMG] A small piller of coal left between the gangway or airway and the breasts to protect these passages; any small pillar. { stomp }

any small pinter. { stomp } sitinf [P. PATH] Any of several plant diseases marked by reduction in size of the plant. { stont } stunt box [steet] A device to control the nonprinting func-

tions of a teletypewriter terminal. ('stant', bliks) stupp [MIN ENG] A black residue from distilled mercury ore, consisting of soot, hydrocarbons, mercury and mercury com-

pounds, and one dust. { step } sturgeon [vert zoo] Any of 10 species of large bottomliving fish which comprise the family Acipenseridae; the body has five rows of bony plates, and the snout is elongate with four

barbels on its lower surface. ('storjan)
Sturges rule [STAT] A rule for determining the desirable number of groups into which a distribution of observations should be classified; the number of groups or classes is 1 + 3.3 log n; where n is the number of observations. | 'stories

Sturm-Liouville problem [MATH] The general problem of solving a given linear differential equation of order 2n together with 2n-boundary conditions. Also known as eigenvalue probrui }

rath ar-outmany community. Arroy known as eigenvalue prob-ern: [*storm bly'n'] problèm]. Sturm-Liouville system [karti] A given differential equa-tion together with its boundary conditions having Sturm-Liouville problem form. [*storm by0'vii], sistem]

Sturm sequence [MATH] For a polynomial p(x), this is the sequence of functions $f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots$, where $f_0(x) = p(x), f_1(x) = p'(x)$, and $f_0(x)$ is the negative remainder that occurs by finding p (x), and $f_n(x)$ is the negative remainer that occurs by finding the greatest common divisor of $f_{n-2}(x)$ and $f_{n-1}(x)$ via the euclidean algorithm. { "sterm , sekwars"} Siurm's theorem [MATK] This gives a method to determine

the number of real roots of a polynomial p(x) which lie between use number of real roots of a polynomial pxt) which its between two given values of x; the Sturm sequence of p(x) provides the necessary information. [*storm: thirram] sturtite [MINERAL] A black mineral composed of hydrous

silicate of iron, manganese, calcium, and magnesium; occurs in compact-masses. ['stord,it]

stutter [COMMUN] Series of undesired black and white lines sunter (COMMUN) Sense of uncessed track and write lines sometimes produced when a facsimile signal undergoes a sharp amplitude change. (MsD) A speech disorder marked by repetition of words, syllables, or sounds, or by he sitations in manner

cution of words, synantes, or sources, or of increasances in include by the speaker. I stude of a student state of the named after G. Stuve. Also known as adiabatic ohart; pacudoadiabatic chart. ['stilv-9 ,chärt]
S twist [TEXT] A left-handed yarn twist in which the spirals

resemble the letter S. ['es ,twist) sty See hordeolum. (sff)

Styginae [INV ZOO] A subfamily of butterfiles in the family Lycaenidae in which the prothoracic legs in the male are nonfunctional. ('stij-a-në) Stygocaridacea [INV 200] An order of crustaceans in the

superorder Syncarida characterized by having a furca. [stiga kara dăs č a l Stylasterina [INV 200] An order of the class Hydrozoa, including several brightly colored branching or encrusting coral-

customy several originity colored malicinang of encrossing coral-like cinderates of warm tess. [45,816*16*7fms]) style [207] The portion of a pistil coanceding the stigma and ovary. [200] See gomon. [200] A slender elongated pro-cess on an animal. [40]]

cess on an annuar. [101] atylet [Grarrics] A slender, pointed marking tool, as one used in graving. [[107 200] A stender, rigid, elongated appendage. [MED] 1. A slender probe used for surgery. 2. A thin wire inserted in a catheter to provide support or in a hollow needle to clear the passage. { 'stilet }

atyloglossus [ANAT] A muscle arising from the styloid pro-cess of the temporal bone, and inserted into the tongue. [,silstylohyold [ANAT] Pertaining to the styloid process of the

temporal bone and the hyoid bone. { |ari-loht,oid } styloid [zoo] Resembling a style. ['sti-loid]



Short-nosed sturgeon (Acipenses brevirostrus).

McGraw-Hill Fifth Edition

Sybil P. Parker

Editor in Chief

McGraw-Hill, Inc. San Francisco Washington, D.C. Lisbon London Madrid Mexico (Montreal New Delhi San Juan Singapore Sydney Tokyo

Auckland

Bogotá

On the cover: Photomicrograph of crystals of vitamin B₄. (Dennis Kunkel, University of Hawali)

Included in this Dictionary are definitions which have been published previously in the following works: P. B. Jordain, Condensed Computer Boycelopedia, Copyright © 1969 by McGraw-Hill, Inc., All rights reserved, J. Marine, Electronic and Nucleotical Colimburay, 4th. 6d., Oppright © 1960, 1978 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved, 15, Cules, Artists and Hillstraws: Emviolopedia, Copyright © 1960 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. Bassense and L. S. Marine, dot, Springth © 1960 by Spring Craw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. The Bassense and L. S. Marine, dot, Stundard Hambbook for Mechanical Engineers, 7th ed., Copyright © 1980, 1970 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved.

In addition, material has been faron from the fullowing references: R. Ej. Huschke, Glossiny of Meteorology, Annetican Meteorological Society; 1959; U. S. Air Porce Glossiny of Standighted Terms, AP Manual 11-1, vol. 3, 1970; W. H. Allen, a. Q. Deltonory of Technical Terms for Aeriogace Use, it dee, Anatomal Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1965; J. M. Gilliand, Solar-Terretarial Physics: A Glossiny of Terms and Abbrendents, and Abbrendents, and Albrendents, and Albrendent

McGRAW-HILL DICTIONARY OF SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL TERMS, Fifth Edition

Copyright © 1994, 1989, 1984, 1978, 1976, 1974 by McGraw-Hill, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

567890 DOW/DOW 99

ISBN 0-07-042333-4

Library of Congress Cataloging-In-Publication Data

McGraw-Hill dictionary of scientific and technical terms / Sybil P. Parker, editor in chief. —5th ed.

p. cm. ISBN 0-07-042333-4

Science—Dictionaries.
 Z. Technology—Dictionaries.

I. Parker, Sybil P.

Q123.M34 1993

503—dc20 93-34772

INTERNATIONAL EDITION

Copyright © 1994. Exclusive rights by McGraw-Hill, Inc. for manufacture and export. This book cannot be reexported from the country to which it is consigned by McGraw-Hill. The International Edition is not available in North America.

When ordering this title, use ISBN 0-07-113584-7.

space polar coordinates

litv

neus.

iio j

spec.

ience

lered

y ah.

Эссы

10 (0:

š. 2,

titent

ning.

ısible

earth

Dare

läken

Iding

atain

vetal

roup spis

ad as

to an

viga-

affic.

ders.

1 that

ctor.

: in a

et no

other

pace

edof

with un by

a the

ıls in

mij

ough

ve to

patial ly, in size,

as the

uuma mits.

the permeability of a vacuum is arbitrarily taken as unity; in the meter-kilogram-second-ampere system, it is $4\pi \times 10^{-2}$ | spas perme a bil ed e |

space polar coordinates [MATH] A system of coordinates by which a point is located in space by its distance from a fixed soint called the pole, the colatitude or angle between the polar eris (a reference line through the pole) and the radius vector is straight line connecting the pole and the point), and the lon-ginude or angle between a reference plane containing the polar axis and a plane through the radius vector and polar axis. ('spās 'pō lər kō örd ən əts)

paceport [AERO ENG] An installation used to test and launch snacecraft. (spas.port)

space power system . [ABRO ENG] . An on-board assemblage

space power system (LREG 2017). An Uni-court assembling of, equipment to generate and distribute electrical energy on satellities and spacecraft. ("spās 'pater , sis-tam | pageo probe | LREG ENG) An instrumented which, the paying of a rocket-launching system designed specifically for flight court of the page of the pageo probe in the page of the pageon of the page sions to other planets or the meon and into deep space, as distinguished from earth-orbiting satellites. ['spas prob] apage processing [ENG] The carrying out of various processes aboard orbiting spacecraft, utilizing the low-gravity, highyacuum environment associated with these vehicles. { 'spās

pra ses in } sce quadrature [PHYS] A difference of a quarter-wavength in the position of corresponding points of a wave in space.

[spis kwiid-rə-chər] space quantization . [QUANT MECH] The quantization of the component of the angular momentum of a system in some spec-

ified direction. ['spis ,kwiin to zirshen]
spacer [BNG] 1. A piece of metal wire twisted at one end to
form a guard to keep the explosive in a shothole in place and

egun a guard to keep the explosive in a shothole in place and waisted at the other end to form a guard to hold the inping in its place. 2. A piece of wood doweling interposed between charges to extend the column of explosive. 3. A device for holding two members at a tiven distance from jurges to externe the comment of the state of the comments at a given distance from each other. Also nown as spacer block. 4. The tapered section of a pug joining the block of the dies deed to comment of this section before it the barrel to the die; clay is compressed in this section b issues through the die. ['späs-ər]
pager block See spacer. { 'späs-ər ,bläk }

pacer deoxymbonucleic acid [MOL BIO] Untranscribed oxyribonucleic acid (DNA) segments, usually containing rep-

citious DNA, of cukaryotic and some viral genomes flanking onal genetic regions (cistrons). { spās ər dējāk-sē,rī-bō glikitik 'as ad)

gage reconnaissance [AERO ENG] Reconnaissance of the surface of a planet from a space ship or satellite. ('spas ri,kan-

space reddening [ASTRON] Reddening of light from distant this caused by selective absorption of blue light by interstellar dust clouds. ['spas ,red and plus plus and blue light by interstellar that clouds. ['spas ridek shan the parity. ['spas ridek shan the parity shan the parit

Signatic]

Saca request [COMPUT SCI] A parameter that specifies the Amount of storage space required by a new file at the time the

Since research [AERO ENG] Research involving studies of

(a weld joint, prepared for a groove weld, to serve as backing and maintain root opening during welding. ('spas-ar ,strip) the satellite [ABRO ENG] A vehicle, crewed or uncrewed,

Rece ship See spacecraft. { 'spas, ship } lighted to travel from the earth to an orbital trajectory and then (lebens, sage' } .mulgt

199 simulator [AERO ENG] 1. Any device which simulates for more parameters of the space environment and which is to test space systems or components. 2. Specifically, a and chamber capable of reproducing approximately the vac-

m and normal environments of space. ['spas ,sim-yo,ladthe space for the conduct of scienting and accommical observa-B. ['spās stā shən]

1 spas six snow;
A pressure suit for wear in space or at very

low ambient pressures within the atmosphere, designed to permit the wearer to leave the protection of a pressurized cab ('spās ,aüt)

space suppression [COMPUT SCI]. Prevention of the normal

space adoptive solution (Costo) sci., rrevenue of the normal movement of paper in a computer printer after the printing of a line of characters. ('spās sapreshan) space technology [Asso swo]. The systematic application of engineering and scientific disciplines to the exploration and

utilization of outer space. ['spās tek,nāl'a-jē } space-time [RELAT] A four-dimensional space used to rep-

resent the universe in the theory of relativity, with three dimensions corresponding to ordinary space and the fourth to time. Also known as space-time continuum. ['spās 'fim]
space-time continuum See space-time. ['spās 'fīm kon'tin'

verwen l space-to-marktransition [commun] The transition from the space condition to the mark condition in telegraphic communi-

cation. [spās to mark tran zish an]
Space Tracking and Data Acquisition Network [ENG] A network of ground stations operated by the National Aeronautica

and Space Administration, which tracks, commands, and receives telemetry for United States and foreign unmanned satellites. Abbreviated STADAN. ['spits 'traking on 'dad'o ak: wo'zish'on net work] space vehicle See spacecraft. ['spiis , vē o kol]

space velocity [ASTRON] A star strue velocity with reference to the sun. [CHEM ENG] The relationship between feed gate and reactor volume in a flow process; defined as the volume or weight of feed (measured at standard conditions) per unit time per unit volume of reactor (or per unit weight of catalyst). spās va läs adē |

space walk [AERO ENG] The movement of an astronaut out-side the protected environment of a spacecraft during a space flight; the astronaut wears a spacesuit. ['spas wok]

space wave [ELECTROMAG] The component of a ground wave that travels more or less directly through space from the transmitting antenna to the receiving antenna; one part of the space wave goes directly from one antenna to the other; another part is reflected off the earth between the antennas. ('spās ,wāv) space weapon [ORD] A weapon that travels through space and is directed against an enemy target whether on the ground,

in the air, or in space. ['spās ,wepon]

spacing [Graphics] The arrangement of characters, words,
lines, and other elements to give the most pleasing effect on a printed page. ['spās-iŋ]
spacing blas See bias telegraph distortion. ['spāc-iŋ ˌbī-əs]

spacing clamp [PETRO BNG] A clamp for maintaining the rod string in the correct pumping position while the well is in the final stages of being fitted to the pump. { 'spissing, klamp } spacing pulse [COMMUN] in teletypewriter operation, the signal interval during which the selector unit is not operated.

(spās in pals) spacing wave See back wave. (spas-in .wav)

spaolator [ELECTR] A multiple-terminal solid-state device, similar to a transistor, that generates frequencies up to about 10,000 megahertz by injecting electrons or holes into a space-charge layer which rapidly forces these carriers to a collecting electrode. { spā'sis-tər }

spackling [ENO] The process of repairing a part of a plaster wall or mural by cleaning out the defective spot and then patchig it with a plastering material. (spak-lin) SPADATS See space detection and tracking system.

['spā,dats } spade [DES ENG] A shovellike implement with a flat oblong blade; used for turning soll by pushing against the blade with

the foot. [spad] spade bolt [DBS ENG] A bolt having a spade-shaped flattened head with a transverse hole, used to fasten shielded coils, capacitors, and other components to a chassis. { 'spād ,bölt } spade drill [DES ENO] A drill consisting of three main parts: a cutting blade, a blade holder or shank, and a device, such as a

screw, which fastens the blade to the holder, used for cutting holes over 1 inch (2,54 centimeters) in diameter. [späd dril] spade grip [ORD] D-shaped handle for pointing a gun, fas-tened on the rear of the receiver of certain flexible automatic weapons. { 'spad ,grip }

apade lug [DES ENG] An open-ended first termination for a wire lead, easily slipped under a terminal nut. ('spād ,log) spadix [BOT] A fleshy spike that is enclosed in a leaflike